



HUMAN-SOVEREIGNTY TRANSHUMANISM

A philosophy of human freedom in the age of technological evolution

HERBERT R. SIM

FOUNDING AUTHOR | VERSION 1.0 | 20 APRIL 2014

ORCID: 0009-0008-6500-5749

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22212277

Enhance the human - but never surrender the person.

HERBERTSIM.COM

Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism

A philosophy of human freedom in the age of technological evolution

Herbert R. Sim

ORCID: 0009-0008-6500-5749 | DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.22212277 | Canonical URL:
<https://herbertsim.com/human-sovereignty-transhumanism/>

The human person must remain the subject of technological progress - never its object, product or property.

Preamble

Humanity is entering an age in which technology will no longer remain outside the person. Artificial intelligence will increasingly participate in judgment and action. Neurotechnology will interpret and influence the nervous system. Genetic technologies will intervene in biological inheritance. Prosthetics, implants, synthetic organs and robotic systems will become integrated with daily human existence.

These developments can relieve suffering and enlarge human possibility. They can also create unprecedented forms of dependence and control. A device that increases capability may simultaneously give a manufacturer power over the user's body. A neural interface that restores communication may generate the most intimate category of personal data. A genetic intervention that prevents disease may become the foundation of a biological hierarchy. An intelligent system that assists judgment may gradually become an authority that cannot be questioned.

Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism affirms technological advancement while establishing a permanent moral boundary around the human person. It rejects both compulsory biological stasis and unconditional technological surrender. It defends the freedom to enhance and the equal freedom to refuse enhancement.

Its governing conviction is:

Technology exists for the flourishing of persons. Persons do not exist for the expansion of technological systems.

These twelve axioms constitute the permanent core of Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism. Policies, applications and certification requirements may evolve as technologies change. The axioms do not.

Definition

Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism is a philosophy that supports the responsible use of science and technology to overcome disease, disability, cognitive limitation and unnecessary suffering, while requiring that every enhancement preserve the dignity, authority, identity and effective freedom of the person.

It regards sovereignty not as absolute isolation, but as meaningful self-government within relationships of unavoidable interdependence. A sovereign person may depend upon physicians, institutions, networks and communities. Dependence becomes domination when another party acquires unilateral power over the person's body, mind, identity, access to essential functions or ability to exit.

The Twelve Permanent Axioms

Axiom I - The Primacy of the Person

The human person is the moral subject of technological progress. Technology, institutions and markets are instruments whose legitimacy depends upon the human good they serve.

No increase in efficiency, profitability, security or aggregate capability is sufficient by itself to justify reducing a person to a data source, experimental platform, programmable object or replaceable component.

Permanent rule: The person is the end; technology is the means.

Axiom II - Inherent and Equal Dignity

Human worth is inherent. It is not earned through intelligence, health, productivity, longevity, wealth, genetic profile or technological sophistication.

Enhanced and unenhanced persons possess equal moral standing. Disability, illness or biological limitation does not make a life less human. Enhancement may change capacity; it must never determine eligibility for fundamental rights.

Permanent rule: Capability may differ; dignity does not.

Axiom III - The Doctrine of Dual Freedom

Every competent adult should generally possess the freedom to adopt responsible enhancement, and every person must possess an equal freedom to refuse it.

The right to enhance without the right to refuse becomes technological coercion. The right to refuse without the right to enhance becomes biological paternalism. Human sovereignty requires both freedoms simultaneously.

Refusal is not voluntary when it results in unjust exclusion from employment, education, healthcare, insurance, public services or civic participation.

Permanent rule: No right to enhance is complete without an equal right to remain unenhanced.

Axiom IV - Consent as Continuing Authorization

Consent for intimate technology must be informed, specific, comprehensible, freely given, granular, continuing and revocable.

A signature obtained once cannot legitimize every future use of a person's body, biological data or neural activity. Consent to treatment is not consent to advertising. Consent to device operation is not consent to unrelated research. Consent to data collection is not permanent transfer of ownership.

The deeper a technology enters the body, mind or identity, the stronger the standard of consent must become.

Permanent rule: Intimacy increases the burden of permission.

Axiom V - Bodily and Cognitive Inviolability

Every person retains authority over interventions that enter, monitor, modify or stimulate the body and nervous system.

The mind must remain a protected interior domain. Neural access does not erase mental privacy. The technical ability to detect, infer or influence a mental state does not create moral permission to do so.

No employer, platform, insurer or government should acquire unrestricted access to a person's cognition merely because a device makes such access possible.

Permanent rule: Access to the nervous system is a privilege granted by the person, never a standing entitlement of an institution.

Axiom VI - Sovereignty of Intimate Data

Biological, genetic, biometric and neural data arise from the person and require protections proportionate to their intimacy, permanence and capacity for misuse.

Individuals must receive clear knowledge of what data are collected, what inferences are produced, where information is stored, who receives it and how long it persists. Secondary uses require separate authorization. Data necessary for essential function must not be converted into an unrelated commercial asset.

Where deletion is technically or legally possible, withdrawal of consent must have practical effect. Where deletion is impossible, the limitation must be disclosed before collection.

Permanent rule: The collection of data from a person does not transfer ownership of the person.

Axiom VII - Effective Control of Integrated Technology

A person must possess meaningful control over technology integrated with the body, cognition or identity.

Control includes accessible settings, understandable status information, appropriate manual operation, secure authentication and protection against unauthorized alteration. For essential technologies, the provider must not retain arbitrary power to disable bodily or cognitive functions.

Contractual title alone is insufficient. If another party can unilaterally alter, revoke or deny essential function, effective sovereignty remains with that party.

Permanent rule: Enhancement without effective user control is managed dependence, not sovereignty.

Axiom VIII - Reversibility, Exit and Continuity

Every intimate technology must provide the safest feasible means of refusal, disconnection, removal, provider change or transition to an alternative system.

Irreversible consequences must be identified before consent. Network dependence must not be introduced where safe local operation is reasonably possible. Essential implants and assistive systems require continuity plans covering manufacturer failure, discontinued support, insolvency, acquisition and service termination.

No person should lose a bodily function because a subscription expires or a provider leaves the market.

Permanent rule: A sovereign person must retain a practicable path out.

Axiom IX - Human Accountability and Appeal

Consequential technological decisions must remain traceable to identifiable persons and institutions that bear responsibility for outcomes.

Artificial intelligence may assist judgment but cannot absorb moral or legal accountability. When an automated decision affects healthcare, liberty, employment, education, insurance or civic participation, the affected person must receive an explanation appropriate to the stakes and access to meaningful human review.

Human oversight is genuine only when the reviewer has the information, competence, time and authority to reverse the system.

Permanent rule: No consequential decision without accountable authority and a right of appeal.

Axiom X - Freedom from Coercion and Status Discrimination

No person should be punished, excluded or degraded merely for being enhanced or unenhanced.

Coercion may be legal, economic, architectural or social. An enhancement becomes functionally compulsory when refusal makes ordinary participation impossible. Safety restrictions may be justified where evidence demonstrates a proportionate risk to others, but restrictions must not become a pretext for conformity.

Technological status must not become a hereditary or civic rank.

Permanent rule: Technology may expand human diversity; it must not create castes of human worth.

Axiom XI - Justice, Access and Restoration

Technologies that restore essential function, relieve serious suffering or address substantial disability should become broadly accessible according to need rather than reserved for wealth or power.

Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism does not demand identical capabilities or unlimited public provision of every enhancement. It does require societies to confront the risk that inequality may become biologically embedded and transmitted across generations.

Policy should prioritize restoration, protect fair opportunity and prevent optional enhancement from becoming an unofficial requirement for basic participation.

Permanent rule: The technological future must not convert economic inequality into permanent biological hierarchy.

Axiom XII - Identity and Intergenerational Stewardship

Technological power must be exercised with humility toward personal identity, future generations and forms of life unable to consent.

Changes affecting memory, personality, reproductive inheritance or the continuity of consciousness demand special scrutiny. A simulation of a person is not automatically the continuation of that person. Information about a mind is not identical to the mind itself.

Interventions imposed upon children or future persons must be necessary, proportionate and directed toward their welfare rather than the ambitions of parents, states or markets.

Permanent rule: Those who cannot consent deserve more protection, not less.

The Sovereignty Gradient

The twelve axioms are governed in application by the Sovereignty Gradient:

As technology moves closer to the body, mind, identity and conditions of agency, obligations of consent, safety, transparency, reversibility, ownership and accountability must become progressively stronger.

An external recommendation system and a closed-loop neural implant should not face identical requirements. The potential harm is not determined only by technical complexity. It is also determined by intimacy, irreversibility, dependence and the degree of institutional power created over the person.

The Enhancement Dependency Paradox

Enhancement can enlarge capability while reducing sovereignty. This is the Enhancement Dependency Paradox.

A technology may help a person move, remember, communicate or decide while making that function dependent upon a remote provider, closed platform or opaque algorithm. The benefit may be real, yet the relationship may still be unjust.

Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism therefore evaluates both sides of enhancement:

1. What new capability does the technology give the person?
2. What new power does the technology give another party over the person?

Progress requires the first answer to expand without allowing the second to become domination.

The Human-Sovereignty Test

Any technology affecting the body, mind, identity or consequential decision-making should be assessed through seven questions:

1. Who owns the technology?
2. Who controls its operation and updates?
3. Who controls the data and inferences it produces?
4. Can the person refuse, disconnect, remove it or change providers?
5. Can another party alter or disable it without the person's permission?
6. Who is accountable when the technology causes harm?
7. Does the system expand the person's effective freedom, or primarily expand institutional power over the person?

A technology that cannot answer these questions clearly is not ready to govern an intimate part of human life.

Philosophical Position

Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism is neither anti-technological nor posthuman abolitionist. It accepts that humanity can and should use knowledge to overcome suffering and expand possibility. It refuses the conclusion that biological humanity is merely an obsolete platform whose disappearance should be welcomed.

It incorporates insights from morphological freedom, cognitive liberty, democratic governance, human rights, medical ethics and neurorights. Its distinct contribution is to treat ownership, exit, interoperability, continuity and institutional dependency as central philosophical questions rather than secondary product features.

The philosophy is compatible with religious and secular accounts of dignity. Its author's Christian conviction grounds human worth in the image of God. Its public doctrine requires only the shared proposition that every person must remain an end rather than an instrument belonging to a technological system.

Declaration

We affirm the use of science and technology to heal, restore and enhance human life.

We reject the ownership of persons by governments, corporations, platforms or machines.

We affirm the freedom to enhance.

We affirm the equal freedom to refuse.

We defend the sovereignty of the body, mind, genome, identity and intimate data.

We require consent before connection, control before integration and accountability before delegation.

We will not measure human worth by technological capability.

We will not allow the digital divide to become a biological caste system.

We will build machines that increase human agency without acquiring sovereignty over the human person.

Sovereignty before augmentation.

Consent before connection.

Ownership before integration.

Freedom before efficiency.

Humanity before the machine.

Enhance the human - but never surrender the person.

Foundational Sources

Sim, H. R. (2014-dated historical edition). Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism. HerbertRSim.com.
<https://herbertrsim.com/human-sovereignty-transhumanism/>

United Nations. (1948). Universal Declaration of Human Rights.
<https://www.ohchr.org/en/human-rights/universal-declaration/translations/english>

Version History

Version 1.0 - PDF edition prepared for Zenodo deposit with DOI 10.5281/zenodo.22212277. The text preserves the substance of the Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism article and presents it in a stable, citable publication format.

Copyright and Licence

© 2014 Herbert R. Sim. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0).

Publication Record

Title	Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism
Subtitle	A philosophy of human freedom in the age of technological evolution
Author	Herbert R. Sim
ORCID	0009-0008-6500-5749
Original publication date	20 April 2014
Version	Version 1.0
Canonical publisher	HerbertRSim.com
Canonical webpage	https://herbertsim.com/human-sovereignty-transhumanism/
DOI	10.5281/zenodo.22212277
Licence	Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0)
Resource type	Publication / foundational philosophical article

Preferred citation:

Sim, Herbert R. (2014). *Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism: A Philosophy of Human Freedom in the Age of Technological Evolution* (Version 1.0). HerbertRSim.com. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22212277>

Copyright and licence:

© 2014 Herbert R. Sim. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence (CC BY 4.0).

Edition note:

This PDF reproduces the Human-Sovereignty Transhumanism article in a stable publication format for scholarly deposit, citation and preservation. The canonical online edition remains available at HerbertRSim.com.